Q.P. Code: 18MB9041			
R	eg	. No:	
SIDDHARTH INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY:: PUTTUR (AUTONOMOUS) MBA II Year II Semester Regular Examinations September 2020 WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS			
Time: 3 hours Max. Marks			: 60
$\mathbf{SECTION} - \mathbf{A}$			
		(Answer all Five Units $5 \times 10 = 50$ Marks) UNIT-I	
1	а	What is the role of Uruguay Round in forming WTO?	5M
•		Write a detailed note on Patent Agents.	5M
		OR	
2	a	What is the impact of trade block in country growth?	5M
	b	Discuss the structure of WTO in brief.	5M
		UNIT-II	
3	a	State the necessary of BERN convention in IPR?	5M
	b	Difference between PARIS and BERN convention.	5M
		OR	
4		State the importance of Madrid Agreement.	5M
	b	What are all the Technical requirements and procedures for Budapest treaty?	5M
5	0	UNIT-III Explain fully the procedure for grant and sealing of patent under the Patent Act.	5M
5		What are the rights and obligations of patentee?	5M
	U	OR	5111
6	a	Explain the rights and obligations of patentee.	5M
	b	Write a detailed note on Patent Agents.	5M
		UNIT-IV	
7		Discuss the historical evolution of Designs Law in India.	5 M
	b	Discuss the regulatory authorities under the Geographical Indications Act, 1999.	5M
8	а	OR What rights are conferred by registrations of Geographical Indications? How	5M
Ū		these rights are protected?	0112
	b	How to Protection to certain trademarks under Geographical Indications Act?	5M
9	a	Explain provisions in respect of infringement of copyright in dramatic and	5M
		musical work.	
	b	What is meant by passing off trademarks and discuss the consequences of the same.	5M
	OR		
10	a	Write down the term of copyright in literary, dramatic, musical or artistic	5M
	h	works. Whether domain names can be protected as trademarks, discuss in the light of	5M
	υ	decided cases.	JIVI

SECTION – B

(Compulsory Question)

1 x 10 = 10 Marks

11. Bajaj Auto Limited Vs. TVS Motor Company Limited JT 2009 (12) SC 103 IPR Law-Dispute over Patent for the Use of Twin-Spark Plug Engine Technology – Speedy disposal of Intellectual property rights cases-

The Supreme Court of India by this landmark judgment has directed all the courts in India for speedy trial and disposal of intellectual property related cases in the courts in India. In two-year-old dispute involving two companies, which have been locked in a patent dispute over the use of a twin-spark plug engine technology, the Supreme Court observed that suits relating to the matters of patents, trademarks and copyrights are pending for years and years and litigation is mainly fought between the parties about the temporary injunction. The Supreme Court directed that hearing in the intellectual property matters should proceed on day to day basis and the final judgment should be given normally within four months from the date of the filing of the suit. The Supreme Court further directed to all the courts and tribunals in the country to punctually and faithfully carry out the aforesaid orders.

1. Explain the IPR classification involved in the case.

2. What's your opinion on the Supreme Court judgment?

*** END ***